

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

As a result of media consolidation local news coverage will greatly decrease! As a member of my community I find it extremely important that I know what's going on in my community. Media democracy can only exist with further regulation and heavy monitoring of the media as a business. Haven't we seen enough corruption within large corporations? Why do we insist on giving them more? Not to mention the fact that we would be receiving very narrow-minded view on a lot of issues. With media cross-ownership we're getting all one point of view. With further deregulation, we further infringe on the first amendment. If America is a democracy then shouldn't America's information be democratic as well?